



Office of Children and Family Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE
Commissioner

April 7, 2021

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting New York City Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Program Year (PY) 2020-2021. Your plan has been reviewed by the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS), and we are pleased to inform you that your STSJP plan has been **approved**.

Please note that this approval does not extend to the amount listed for the STSJP-RTA programming. Upon NYS DOB approval, OCFS will send out a revised STSJP approval letter reflecting the STSJP-RTA programming amount approved by NYS DOB for 2020-2021 as applicable.

New York City is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your municipality will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible non-Raise The Age detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement, up to the capped allocation, if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. A municipality may make a detention allocation shift at the time of the STSJP plan submission or up until June 30, 2021, unless otherwise approved by the Office of Children and Family Services.

If the County plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Lynn Tubbs and email it to stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov outlining

1. the amount that will be shifted and
2. the type of programming or services the re-purposed detention funds will be used for under STSJP

Once the shift is approved, an amended STSJP plan will need to be submitted.

As a reminder, to access RTA reimbursement for which a municipality may be eligible, the expenditures must be specifically included in a locality's comprehensive RTA plan and approved by the NYS Division of Budget.

All STSJP claims must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period of October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021. Questions on all aspects of the claiming process should be directed to the STSJP mailbox at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov with the subject line "STSJP Claiming questions".

If you have any STSJP plan questions, please also email us at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov and write “STSJP Plan Questions” in the subject line or reach out to Geneva Hilliard at (518) 486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs at (518) 473-9116.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their families through innovative programming to reduce out of home placement and detention of youth.

Sincerely,



Nina Aledort, PhD, LMSW
Deputy Commissioner

cc: Derek Holtzclaw, OCFS Deputy Commissioner for Administration
Lynn Tubbs, Director of Cross-System Supports, YDAPS
OCFS Child Welfare and Community Services Regional Office Directors
Municipality STSJP Lead

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILES PROGRAM (STSJP)
ANNUAL PLAN FOR PROGRAM YEAR OCTOBER 1, 2020 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

SUBMITTING MUNICIPALITY CONTACT INFORMATION

Name of applicant county, counties, or jurisdiction: City of New York		
Lead agency for STSJP submission: Administration for Children's Services/Department of Probation		
Contact Person's Name: Peggy Chan (ACS) Michael Forte (DOP)		Title: Assistant Commissioner/Deputy Commissioner
Phone: (212) 676-6753	Ext: (212) 510-3810	Email: peggy.chan@acs.nyc.gov/mforte@probation.nyc.gov

PLAN SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

STSJP plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 10 / 20 / 2020

1. Once you have opened this form on your computer, please use the "Save As" function to save a copy with the following file name: "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]."
2. Work from the copy saved in Step 1 as you record your municipality information. Save your work as you complete each section.
3. Email the completed application to OCFS at stsip@ocfs.ny.gov. Use the subject line "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]" to facilitate timely review of your plan.

Please direct any STSJP plan questions to Geneva Hilliard 518-486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs 518-473-9116.

NOTE:

Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate and maintain STSJP programs, and may enter into agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide additional information under **Part I - Municipality Level Details, Section A. Cooperative Application.**

PART I – MUNICIPALITY LEVEL DETAILS

A. Cooperative Application (Complete this section only if this is a joint application.)

1. Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county.

N/A

2. Describe how personnel will be compensated across and between counties in the cooperative:

N/A

3. Will a single fiscal officer be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP?

Yes (If yes, please provide their contact details below.)

No (If no, skip to Q4.)

Officer's Name: N/A

Title: N/A

Phone: (N/A)

Ext: N/A

Email: N/A

4. Describe who will be responsible for collecting and submitting STSJP data for joint-funded programs:

N/A

B. Municipality Level Analysis

1. (a) Identify communities or neighborhoods from which the highest number of Youthful Offenders (YO), Adolescent Offenders (AO), Juvenile Offenders (JO), Juvenile Delinquents (JD), and Persons in Need of Supervision (PINS) enter the youth justice system, are remanded to detention, and/or are residentially placed; then (b) Discuss what factors may be contributing to these high numbers:

The target communities are within the five New York City boroughs. Specifically, we will focus on the 13 community districts within the boroughs (6 in the Bronx, 3 in Brooklyn, 2 in Manhattan, 1 in Queens and 1 in Staten Island) that historically have had the highest numbers of admissions to detention. In CY 2019, these 13 community districts accounted for 46 percent of New York City youth placed in detention facilities:

- Brooklyn East New York/Starrett City (11207, 11208, 11239) 95
- Staten Island Saint George/Stapleton (10301, 10304, 10305) 87
- Queens Jamaica/Hollis (11412111423111432, 11433-36) 72
- Brooklyn Brownsville (11212, 11233) 69
- Bronx Mott Haven/Melrose (10454, 10455, 10459) 62
- Bronx Highbridge/Concourse (10451, 10452) 45
- Bronx Williamsbridge/Baychester (11206, 11221, 11237) 58
- Brooklyn Bedford Stuyvesant (11205, 11206, 11216,11221,1123 3) 50
- Bronx Parkchester/Soundview (10462, 10472) 46
- Brooklyn East Flatbush (11236, 11203, 11212) 40
- Manhattan Central Harlem (10026, 10027, 10030, 10037, 10039) 69
- Bronx Fordham/University Heights (10453, 10457, 10458, 10468) 42
- Manhattan East Harlem (10029, 10035) 68
- Bronx Morrisania/Crotona (10456, 10459, 10460) 50

The target populations are as follows: (1) youth in all stages of delinquency matters, from adjustment to supervision and (2) juvenile offenders. Mid- and high-risk delinquent probationers have higher rates of re-arrest between arrest and final disposition than low-risk probationers. A recent preliminary recidivism analysis shows that the 6-month felony rearrest rate for moderate-risk youth is around 20 percent, while for low-risk, it is 10 percent.

Regarding the Juvenile Delinquents and Juvenile Offenders to be served by these funds, we have identified four areas of need—educational, mental health, multiple simultaneous needs, and family support issues—common to this population of probationers. These are areas that, when gone unaddressed, can put a young person at risk of engaging in behavior that may lead to rearrest or a violation of probation. Additionally, recent data suggest that parent coaching is making an impact on how probation officers engage with youth on probation. A sample of participants experienced fewer violations involving parental depositions relative to a statistically-matched comparison group.

2. (a) Compare the racial/ethnic distributions among your local system’s detention and/or residential placements with the racial/ethnic distributions in your municipality’s general population; then (b) Discuss any racial/ethnic disparities you identified and how this plan will address the disparities:

Our service programs continue to address disproportionality in the juvenile justice system by focusing on youth at risk of remand and violation, particularly in the 13 New York City community districts that remand and place the majority of court-involved youth. In addition to the programs outlined above, the DOP’s SDM process has demonstrated, through preliminary multivariate analyses, reduced disproportionality for all youth reaching the point of a recommendation and sentence to probation. Further analyses will reveal that youth face the same dispositional options when they share the same risk/offense severity profile, regardless of borough/judge, race, ethnicity, gender, or other social and demographic category.

We cannot speak with much detail concerning the detention and placement populations because these are not the populations we serve. We do know, however, that, in a given year, approximately 80% of JD arrests, 95% of detention admissions and 60% of placement dispositions are among minority youth (an indication that there is an overrepresentation in detention but underrepresentation among placement dispositions relative to who is getting arrested). Apart from our own preliminary multivariate analyses revealing a reduced disproportionality at the point of recommendation and final sentence, this is further support of the effectiveness of probation’s structured decision making (SDM) protocols (probation is making the recommendations for disposition based on standardized guidelines). Given this evidence, it is important to maintain these SDM procedures at all system points where probation is making discretionary decisions. This is the plan now and moving forward.

C. Local Collaboration

1. STSJP legislation requires local collaboration. Please describe your municipality’s activities in developing this year’s STSJP plan in collaboration with local agencies responsible for probation, law enforcement, detention, diversion, social services, courts, service providers, schools, and youth development programs:

The NYC STSJP Plan/Programming is administered jointly between the Department of Probation and the Administration for Children's Services with programmatic services provided by a wide-range of service providers and youth development programs. STSJP programming involves no fewer than 10 community based organizations that are directly contracted to provide program services and all program services -- which also involve working with courts, schools, mental health resources and other programs are available either at the community or borough level.

PART II – PROGRAM LEVEL DETAILS

PROGRAM	1
----------------	----------

A. Program 1 Contact Information

Program 1 Name: AIM (Advocate, Intervene, Mentor)		
Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation		
Program Mailing Address: 33 Beaver Street, 23rd Floor		
Address Line 2:		
City: New York	State: NY	Zip Code: 10004
Program Contact’s Name: Audrey Wilson		Title: Senior Director, Juvenile Operations
Phone: (212) 510-3780	Ext:	Email: aywilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 1 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

AIM is a non-conventional mentoring program that pairs youth with Credible Messengers whom are trusted members of the community that they are able to form transformational relationships with. AIM works with adjudicated youth to prevent their out of home placement. In addition, AIM will serve RTA youth to prevent their entry into detention during the pendency of the case in court. These Credible Messengers are available to The youth 24 hours a day/7 days per week. Interaction with these positive and meaningful adults who

serve as mentors, reduces the potential for them to engage in criminogenic activities and associate with delinquent friends.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 1 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	37
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	37

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 It is anticipated that this program will be under budgeted capacity. With the implementation of RTA we anticipated having an increase in the number of youth served. However, as a result of COVID-19 and NYS on Pause there were less youth enrolled in the program by way of Court dispositions. We anticipate that when COVID-19 restrictions are lifted the program will be at budget capacity for PY 2020-2021.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	157.93	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 The average lengths of service are appropriate given the program goals and intensity as compared to youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 1 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP								100	100
STSJP-RTA									
Total								100	100

PROGRAM 2

A. Program 2 Contact Information

Program 2 Name: Mobile Adolescent Therapy

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation: Center for Alternative Sentencing and Employment Services (CASES)

Program Mailing Address: c/o - NYC Department of Probation

Address Line 2: 33 Beaver Street

City: New York State: NY Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Audrey Wilson Title: Senior Director

Phone: (212) 510-3780 Ext: Email: aywilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 2 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Mobile Adolescent Therapy works with families for 4 months, specifically to help young people avoid drug use, identify and address any mental health concerns, improve family functioning, engage in positive social activities including work, and address any beliefs and behaviors that increase the likelihood of the young person violating probation requirements or re-offending.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 2 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJJP STSJJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
STSJJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 It is anticipated that the program will be under its budgeting capacity as the program served under the target number of participants. COVID-19 and NYC On Pause restrictions impacted the number of referral that the provider received. With restrictions gradually being lifted we anticipate that the program will be at budget capacity for PY 2020-2021.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.50	0.00
STSJJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 59 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 2 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	36
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	36

PROGRAM 3

A. Program 3 Contact Information

Program 3 Name: Plus Mentoring (Enhancement for ICM and Adjustment)

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation

Program Mailing Address:

Address Line 2: 33 Beaver Street, 21st Floor

City: New York

State: NY

Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Mathew Lynch

Title: RTA Manager

Phone: (212) 510-3769

Ext:

Email: matlynch@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 3 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The Plus+ Mentoring Program (formerly ICM+) uses curriculum-based group mentoring to help at-risk youth transform their attitudes and behaviors that have led to violence and/or criminal activity. Building on the success of the Arches Transformative Mentoring program model, the Plus+ Mentoring Program is designed to meet young people where they are in this process of positive youth development and pro-social engagement, focusing on changes in cognition and thinking and the attainment of problem-solving/social skills that often precede the ability to secure concrete attainments in education, employment, healthy personal relationships, and avoidance of criminal behavior. Plus mentoring will serve RTA youth to prevent detention during the pendency of their court case.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 3 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			35	24	0	0	59
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 City-wide, Plus+ Mentoring is currently under DOP's initial capacity assessment for formal participants; 2 of 5 boroughs are at full capacity,

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			68	76	0.00	

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 3 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	TD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA					76	76			152
Total					76	76			152

PROGRAM 4

A. Program 4 Contact Information

Program 4 Name: Clinical Advisors/Customized Assistance

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation & City University of New York/Research Foundation of CUNY

Program Mailing Address: 33 Beaver Street, 18th Floor

Address Line 2:

City: New York State: NY Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Audrey Wilson Title: Senior Director for Juvenile Operations

Phone: (212) 510-3780 Ext: Email: aywilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 4 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Customized Assistance is comprised of individual services, flexible programming/funding, and a never give up philosophy in order to address the varied and often significant needs of low-to-moderated risk youth that often results in detention and out of home placement. The program works to serve adjudicated youth to prevent out of home placement. As a result the DOP Customized Assistance Unit is staffed by social workers, to whom Probation Officers can make requests/referrals. Clinical Advisors meet with youth and families and provide services and referrals including: individual and family counseling, parent-child conflict resolution, grief counseling, trauma counseling and substance abuse treatment (alcohol, marijuana, K-2).

These efforts impact the number of technical violations filed by linking youth and family to services, utilizing a restorative approach to remedying family conflict and facilitating parent engagement groups providing parents with information and strategies to support their children. A significant number of Violations of Probation petitions are filed on the basis of “beyond parental control.” Much of what gives rise to these petitions results from poorly addressing the behavioral health of the youth in question, resulting in detention and out of home placement. Often, these youth present moderate to low risk/severity profiles and can be served in the community with services.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 4 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	525	0	525
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	525	0	525

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 Based on the number of youth served it is anticipated that the program will be above the budgeted capacity as the program target is to serve 125 youth yearly and as of 9/30/2020 169 youth were served. The anticipated number of youth served will be over the budget based on the number of served in Q2. The past six months, CAU serviced over 200 hundred youth throughout COVID statewide pause

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 40 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 4 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0		0	0	0	425	0	425
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	425	0	425

PROGRAM 5

A. Program 5 Contact Information

Program 5 Name: After Hours Court Part & Credible Messenger Mentor Liaisons

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation

Program Mailing Address:

Address Line 2: 60 Lafayette Street - 2nd Floor

City: New York

State: **NY**

Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Stacye Desamours

Title: Citywide Youth Part Director

Phone: (212) 442-2190 Ext:

Email: sdesamours@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 5 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Will provide staff in after hours court parts to provide information about available services and connect with youth for a "warm hand-off" for those who magistrates do not detain. This program will RTA youth to divert them from detention and adjudicated RTA youth to divert them from out of home placement.

This program will staff with additional in-house credible messengers to serve as connectors to youth for resources and programming with a particular emphasis on connecting youth to mentors.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 5 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			874	0	120	0	994
Total	0	0	0	0	874	0	120	0	994

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

The program's staffing model is static irrespective of capacity/numbers served because it is based on a staffing coverage model..

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0	0

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 5 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			874	0	120	0	994
Total	0	0	0	0	874	0	120	0	994

PROGRAM 6

A. Program 6 Contact Information

Program 6 Name: Art Therapy & Educational Enhancement

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation: City of University of NY / Research Foundation of CUNY

Program Mailing Address: c/o- NYC Department of Probation

Address Line 2: 33 Beaver Street, 23rd Floor

City: New York State: NY Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Audrey Wilson Title: Senior Director for Juvenile Operations

Phone: (212) 510-3780 Ext: Email: aywilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 6 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Educational improvement services provides direct educational assistance to youth on probation supervision. The services allow for youth to meet one on one or in a group for assistance on class projects, homework help, test prep, college readiness . Youth who have been disengaged from school may also meet receive educational assistance pending transfers, re-enrollment and suspension periods.

Art Therapy will offer elements of digital and studio arts programs, and include a therapeutic component to youth under the supervision of the DOP. The services will be offered in groups that will help youth express emotions, thoughts and experiences through the visual arts. Individual Art Therapy and Family Therapy, as well as

job skills training, will also be offered to select participants. Bot of these programs will serve adjudicated youth to prevent out of home placement.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 6 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJ data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	0	56
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	0	56

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 It is anticipated that the program will be slightly under its budgeting capacity. COVID-19 and NYS On Pause restrictions prohibited in person tutoring. As a result the program was restructured and will now work with youth virtually.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	150	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 6 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	200
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	200

PROGRAM 7

A. Program 7 Contact Information

Program 7 Name: Intensive Community Monitoring (ICM)

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation

Program Mailing Address: 33 Beaver Street, 21st Floor

Address Line 2:

City: New York

State: NY

Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Mathew Lynch

Title: RTA Manager

Phone: (212) 510-3769 Ext:

Email: matlynch@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 7 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

ICM provides intensive monitoring services to youth with a pending delinquency case. Intensive Community Monitoring (ICM) is a pre-dispositional/trial program available to moderate and high risk youth who would otherwise be detained during the pendency of their case. ICM is court ordered to youth in Family Court of the ATD continuum serving the highest risk youth who would otherwise be placed in detention during the pendency of the court case. ICM programming also serves youth released from detention, or youth who were unresponsive to lower level programming, and are paroled by the court to the Department of Probation.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 7 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	154
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	154

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 The anticipated number of youth served will be over the budget based on the number of served in Q2. The past six months, ICM serviced over 100 hundred youth throughout COVID statewide pause.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	89	.00	0.00	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 .00The average length of service for ICM is approximately 89 days. This is to be expected for the time period because ICM is utilized for cases pending adjudication and disposition and can take, on average, about three to six months to resolve. The average length of service for ICM is about four times an average length of stay for detention; about 25 days.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 7 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	225	0	0	0	0	225
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	225	0	0	0	0	225

PROGRAM 8

A. Program 8 Contact Information

Program 8 Name: Out of School Time (OST)

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation

Program Mailing Address: 33 Beaver Street, 23rd Floor

Address Line 2:

City: New York

State: NY

Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Audrey Wilson

Title: Senior Director for Juvenile Operations

Phone: (212) 510-3780 Ext:

Email: awilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 8 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Out of School Time programming provides youth with structured activities during school breaks and after school hours reducing instances of boredom and opportunities to be drawn to antisocial peers and illegal activities, resulting in rearrests. The program serves adjudicated youth to prevent out of home placement. OST aims to provide structured and consistent activities during out of school time to deter anti-social or delinquent behavior. Unproductive use of leisure time has become a standard criminogenic factor.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 8 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJJP STSJJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	0	86
STSJJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	0	86

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 The anticipated number of youth served will be over the budget based on the number of served in Q2. The past six months, OST serviced over 100 hundred youth throughout COVID statewide pause.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.00	0.00
STSJJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 64 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 8 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	150	0	150
STSJJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	150	0	150

PROGRAM 9

A. Program 9 Contact Information

Program 9 Name: Parent Support Program

Operating Agency: Parent Support / Parent Coach Program

Program Mailing Address: Center for Court Innovation, New Center for Interpersonal Development, Community Connections for Youth, Friends of Island Academy, Good Sheperd Services

Address Line 2: c/o- NYC Department of Probation

City: New York State: **NY** Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Audrey Wilson Title: Senior Director for Juvenile Operations

Phone: (212) 510-3780 Ext: Email: aywilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 9 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Parent Coaches serves adjudicated youth by lowering the chances of out of home placement by offering parents support and assistance in navigating systems. With support parents are engaged and more equipped to deal with the challenges and needs of their child, increasing compliance with court mandates and reducing the chance of rearrest. The Department of Probation has recognized that parents of youth on probation often struggle with the management and coordination of the obligations with which the youth need to comply.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 9 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	243	0	243
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	243	0	243

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

As indicated in Q2 NYC DOP has exceeded the budgeted capacity.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	158.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 158 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 9 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	200
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	200

Program 10 Name: PEAK Centers		
Operating Agency: Center for Alternative Sentencing and Employment (CASES) & Jamaica Center for Arts and Learning (JCAL)		
Program Mailing Address: c/o- NYC Department of Probation		
Address Line 2: 33 Beaver Street, 23rd Floor		
City: New York	State: NY	Zip Code: 10004
Program Contact's Name: Audrey Wilson		Title: Senior Director for Juvenile Operations
Phone: (6) 510-3780	Ext:	Email: aywilson@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 10 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
10453-10474, 11201-11237

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

PEAK Centers seek to use a young person's involvement in the justice system as an opportunity for intervention that prevents further delinquency while promoting positive, sustainable youth development. PEAK serves adjudicated youth to prevent out of home placement and aims to avoid recidivism by providing activities that help our youth and families thrive. PEAK Centers will provide a safe secure afterschool environment that will promote education support, skill building, employability, life skills, positive self-expression and improved family relationships.

PEAK has been successful in maintaining youth in the program for extended periods of time. Anecdotally, it's been noted that young people have increased involvements in pro-social activities, increased community engagement, more efficient and timely reporting for office visits, and increased self-expression.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 10 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	42
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	42

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

The anticipated number of youth served will be over the budget based on the number of served in Q2. The past six months, PEAK has serviced over 60 youth throughout COVID statewide pause.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 29 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 10 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	60
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	60

PROGRAM	11																					
A. Program 11 Contact Information																						
Program 11 Name: NYC Youth WRAP																						
Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation																						
Program Mailing Address: 33 Beaver Street, 18th Floor																						
Address Line 2:																						
City: New York	State: NY Zip Code: 10004																					
Program Contact's Name: Deldreana Peterkin	Title: Director of Workforce Development																					
Phone: (212) 510-3813 Ext:	Email: dpeterkin@probation.nyc.gov																					
B. Program 11 Description and Target Population																						
1. This program meets the legal definition of a Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m) and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No																						
2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:																						
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%; padding: 5px;">STSJP</th> <th style="width: 10%; padding: 5px;">STSJP-RTA</th> <th style="width: 80%; padding: 5px;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Prevention (P)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Early Intervention (EI)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Alternative to Placement (ATP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Indirect Services</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		STSJP	STSJP-RTA		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services
STSJP	STSJP-RTA																					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services																				
3. Please list the zip codes this program will target: Citywide																						
4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. The Youth Wrap program engages young participants in meaningful service oriented work by, 1) teaches soft and basic skills including conflict resolution and financial literacy, 2) allows them to earn money for a job well done and experience the satisfaction that comes from connecting with and giving back to their communities. Community residents, in turn, come to see court involved young people as potential assets. Youth Wrap serves adjudicated youth to prevent out of home placement. With this program there was increased community engagement, reduced recidivism and enhanced self-worth. Clients reported to their worksites at a specific time at various locations. So many developed management skills, learned how to work with new people, as a term and how to resolve conflict in a mature and peaceful way. Most importantly, each client had an opportunity to further develop soft skills and was exposed to possible employment/career interests.																						
5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No																						
C. Program 11 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)																						
1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020? <input type="checkbox"/> None (If none, skip to section D.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STSJP <input type="checkbox"/> STSJP-RTA																						

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	390	0	390
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	390	0	390

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

Based on the recorded number in Q2 we will continue to exceed the budget capacity as the number of youth serviced during that period exceeded our projected number for the year.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 63 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 11 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	400	0	400
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	400	0	400

PROGRAM 12

A. Program 12 Contact Information

Program 12 Name: Community Resolve Initiative

Operating Agency: NYC Department of Probation

Program Mailing Address: 33 Beaver Street

Address Line 2: 21st floor

City: New York

State: **NY**

Zip Code: 10004

Program Contact's Name: Mathew Lynch

Title: RTA Manager

Phone: (212) 510-3769

Ext:

Email: matlynch@probation.nyc.gov

B. Program 12 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
Citywide

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Will provide a restorative justice alternative for RTA youth arrested for robbery and assault cases and suitable for adjustment. The youth, those impacted by the behavior (victim,) and the youth's family and circle of support will be engaged in the process.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 12 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	57			0	0	0	0	57
Total	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	57

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 CRI is currently under DOP's preliminary capacity assessment. However, newly implemented at DOP, CRI has continued its trend of continually increasing the number of eligible program participants served from month to month. Since CRI is newly implemented, DOP and CDRC partners are in the process of defining target goals related to program capacity.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	21			0	0	0	0

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 The average length of stay in this ATP program is about 63 days. In the same time period, youth who would otherwise be sentenced to placement are there for an average of about 25 days, but it varies depending on the offense type and the youth's individual circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 12 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	150			0	0	0	0	150
Total	0	150	0	0	0	0	0	0	150

PART III – Goals for PY 2020-2021

Please set the municipality's goals for its programs to achieve in PY 2020-2021. State-required outcomes have been included with space for you to add any locally collected outcomes. Goals are focused by service type and should reflect the percentage of youth expected to achieve the outcome described. Note: Outcomes are only recorded for youth once they have left the program; this may represent a subset of all the youth served during the period.

Prevention

STSJP	STSJP RTA	Outcomes
-------	-----------	----------

0	%	0	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no truancies during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

Early Intervention

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
0	%	0	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
0	%	60	%	of youth will have no truancies during service engagement
0	%	60	%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
0	%	60	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
0	%	60	%	of youth will have their cases successfully adjusted/diverted during service engagement
0	%	60	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
0	%	60	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
0	%	60	%	of youth will comply with program rules
0	%	60	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
70	%	70	%	of youth will have no missed court appearances during service engagement
65	%	65	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
60	%	60	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
60	%	60	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
60	%	0	%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
65	%	65	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
60	%	60	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
60	%	60	%	of youth will comply with program rules
60	%	60	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

0

Alternative to Placement

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
60	%	60	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
60	%	60	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
60	%	60	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
60	%	0	%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
60	%	60	%	of youth will have no violations of probation filed during service engagement

60	%	60	%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
60	%	60	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
60	%	60	%	of youth will comply with program rules
60	%	60	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

T

Reentry / Aftercare

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
	%		%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no returns to their previous placements during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

PART IV – FUNDING**A. Anticipated Program Expenses and Funding Distribution**

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP- RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
1 AIM (Advocate, Intervene, Mentor)	0	313787.58	506109	192,321.42	313787.58	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	313787.58	506109	192321.42	313787.58	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
2 Mobile Adolescent Therapy	0	124000	200000	76000	124000	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	124000	200000	76000	124000	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
3 Plus Mentoring (Enhancement to ICM and Adjustment)	0	0	0	0	0	375000
Prevention						
Early Intervention	0	0	0	0	0	
ATD/ATPDP						375000
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
4 Clinical Advisors/Customized Assistance	0	248000	400000	152000	248000	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	248000	400000	152000	248000	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
5 After Hours Court Part Detention Alternative & Credible Messenger Mentor Liaisons	0	0	0	0	0	550000
Prevention						
Early Intervention						

ATD/ATPDP	0	0	0	0	9	275000
ATP	0	0	0	0	0	275000
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
6 Art Therapy & Educational Enhancement	0	155000	250000	95000	155000	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	155000	250000	95000	155000	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
7 Intensive Community Monitoring (ICM)	0	775000	1250000	475000	775000	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP	0	775000	1250000	475000	775000	0
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
8 Out of School Time (OST)	0	297600	480000	182400	297600	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	297600	480000	182400	297600	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
9 Parent Support Program	0	527000	850000	323000	527000	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	527000	850000	323000	527000	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
10 PEAK Centers	0	543120	876000	332880	543120	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						

ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	543120	876000	332880	543120	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
11 NYC Youth WRAP	0	229400	370000	140600	229400	0
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	0	229400	370000	140600	229400	0
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
12 Community Resolve Initiative	0	0	0	0	0	152500
Prevention						
Early Intervention	0	0	0	0	0	152500
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
Sum of Program Totals:	0	3212907.58	5182109	1969201.42	3212907.58	1077500

B. STSJP Reimbursement Summary	
STSJP Allocation Amount	3212908
Locally Approved Amount of PY 2020-2021 STSJP Allocation	3212907.58
Approved Detention Allocation Shifted	0
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	3212907.58

C. STSJP-RTA Reimbursement Summary	
STSJP-RTA Approved Plan Amount	1077500
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	1077500

PART V – PLAN APPROVAL		
A. Municipality Level Approval – Chief Executive / Administrative Official		
As STSJP Lead for New York City, I certify that the Chief Executive/Administrative Official, [Name and Title] CEO Administration for Children's Services, has reviewed and approved the 2020-2021 STSJP Plan.		
User ID: WR252	Print Name: Peggy Chan	Date: 4/1/2021
B. State Level Approval – OCFS Program Reviewer		

As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this STSJP plan for New York City for 2020-2021.		
--	--	--

User ID: abaker	Print Name: Azizi Baker/s/	Date: 4/5/2021
-----------------	----------------------------	----------------